LATE FILED

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

DONALD MCGUIRE

ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS DIVISION

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

RECONCILIATION OF REVENUES COLLECTED UNDER FUEL ADJUSTMENT CHARGES WITH ACTUAL COSTS

CENTRAL ILLINOIS LIGHT COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 00-0724

AUGUST 2001

		FICIAL		
			20-0724	
Staff	Routsed	gebent is	io. <u>l</u>	
		vire		
Date 3	1/15/01	_ Reporte	r <u> </u>	

1	Witn	ess Identification
2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3		
4	A.	My name is Donald McGuire. My business address is 527 East Capitol
5		Avenue, Springfield, Illinois 62701.
6		
7	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
8		
9	A.	I am an Accountant in the Accounting Department of the Financial
10		Analysis Division of the Illinois Commerce Commission.
11		
12	Q.	What is the function of the Accounting Department of the Illinois
13		Commerce Commission?
14		
15	A.	The Department's function is to monitor the financial condition of public
16		utilities as part of the Commission's responsibilities under Article IV of the
17		Public Utilities Act ("the Act") and to provide accounting expertise on
18		matters before the Commission.
19		
20	Q.	Please describe your background.
21		
22	A.	I graduated from the University of Illinois with a Bachelor of Science
23		Degree in Accounting. I joined the Commission Staff in May of 1999. Prior

24		to that, I was employed for 18 years by Central Illinois Public Service
25		Company ("CIPS"), a gas and electric utility. During my employment at
26		CIPS, I served in various accounting positions including 9 years as
27		Supervisor of Fuel Accounting. In this position I was responsible for the
28		accounting for all fuel transactions of the Company including the
29		administration of the Uniform Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") and the
30		Uniform Purchased Gas Adjustment ("PGA").
31		
32	Q.	Have you previously testified before this Commission?
33		
34	A.	Yes, I have.
35		
36	Q.	What are your responsibilities in this case?
37		
38	A.	I have been assigned to this case by the Director of Accounting
39		Department of the Illinois Commerce Commission. I am to review the
40		filing of Central Illinois Light Company ("CILCO" or "Company"), analyze
41		the underlying data and propose adjustments when appropriate.
42		

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

43

44

45

Purpose of Testimony

46	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present the Staff position on the			
47		Company's Uniform Fuel Adjustment Clause (FAC) Reconciliation for the			
48		year ending December 31, 2000.			
49					
50	Q.	Are you sponsoring any schedules as part of ICC Staff Exhibit 1?			
51					
52	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring the following schedule as part of ICC Staff Exhibit 1:			
53 54 55		Schedule 1 Reconciliation of FAC Revenues with Actual Costs			
56	Scheo	<u>dules</u>			
57	Q.	Please describe ICC Staff Exhibit 1, Schedule 1, Reconciliation of			
58		FAC Revenues with Actual Costs.			
59					
60	A.	ICC Staff Exhibit 1, Schedule 1, presents the Staff FAC Reconciliation			
60 61	A.	ICC Staff Exhibit 1, Schedule 1, presents the Staff FAC Reconciliation compared to the Company proposed FAC Reconciliation. Column (b)			
	A.				
61	A.	compared to the Company proposed FAC Reconciliation. Column (b)			
61 62	A.	compared to the Company proposed FAC Reconciliation. Column (b) presents the Company's proposed FAC reconciliation as reflected in the			
61 62 63	A.	compared to the Company proposed FAC Reconciliation. Column (b) presents the Company's proposed FAC reconciliation as reflected in the direct testimony of CILCO witness Glenn L. Davidson. ¹ Column (c)			
61 62 63 64	A.	compared to the Company proposed FAC Reconciliation. Column (b) presents the Company's proposed FAC reconciliation as reflected in the direct testimony of CILCO witness Glenn L. Davidson. ¹ Column (c) reflects Staff adjustments to the Company's reconciliation. Column (d)			
61 62 63 64 65	A. Q.	compared to the Company proposed FAC Reconciliation. Column (b) presents the Company's proposed FAC reconciliation as reflected in the direct testimony of CILCO witness Glenn L. Davidson. ¹ Column (c) reflects Staff adjustments to the Company's reconciliation. Column (d)			

See, CILCO Exhibit No. 1.1.

രവ	

A.

The Company's reconciliation was determined using the methodology set forth in the December 20, 2000 Order in Docket 99-0468 (i.e., the original order for CILCO's 1999 FAC reconciliation). This order required the following changes in the determination of allowable fuel cost: (1) purchased power is to be included in the computation of system-average cost; and (2) off-system competitive sales are to be included in the CNS component at the system-average cost of energy. As stated previously, CILCO's reconciliation is consistent with the methodology set forth in the December 20 Order in Docket No. 99-0468.

I am proposing, for the purposes of the 2000 FAC reconciliation, that the methodology set forth in the Initiating Order (the "Emergency Rule") in Docket No. 01-0253 be used as the appropriate FAC methodology.²

Q. What provisions of the Emergency Rule are you referring?

Α

The Emergency Rule clarified that off-system competitive sales should be removed from fuel cost at incremental cost. The Emergency Rule also mandated that purchased power be included in the determination of the system-average cost of fuel.

91	Q.	Explain the difference between the methodologies used by the
92		Company and Staff.
93		
94	A.	Both the Company and Staff reconciliations include purchased power in
95		the determination of the system-average cost of fuel, however, the
96		Company's presentation includes off-system competitive sales in the CNS
97		component at average cost. The Company's reconciliation is based on
98		the methodology set forth in the December 20, 2000 order in the 1999
99		FAC reconciliation, Docket No. 99-0468.
100		
101		Staff's reconciliation includes off-system sales in the CNS component at
102		incremental cost, which is consistent with the Emergency Rule. The use
103		of incremental costs prevents the cross subsidization of the competitive
104		customers by the FAC customers and thus, is an appropriate methodology
105		for the 2000 FAC reconciliation.
106		
107	Q.	Are other CNS methodologies available which could be applied to
108		the 2000 reconciliation?
109		
110	A.	Yes. A reconciliation could be determined using the methodology set forth
111		in the Second Notice Order ("Permanent Rule") in Docket No. 01-0253.3

See, Illinois Commerce Commission On Its Own Motion, Amendment of 83 Adm. Code 425, Initiating Order, Order Date March 7, 2001, Appendix A.
 See, Illinois Commerce Commission On Its Own Motion, Amendment of 83 Adm. Code 425. Second

³ See, Illinois Commerce Commission On Its Own Motion, Amendment of 83 Adm. Code 425, Second Notice Order, Order Date June 19, 2001, Appendix A.

112		Using the Permanent Rule, purchased power is included in the calculation
113		of system-average cost, however, all competitive sales (not just those
114		outside the service area) are included in CNS at incremental cost and
115		targeting is allowed as part of incremental cost. I have requested that the
116		Company provide a reconciliation using the permanent rules, but I have
117		not yet received that response. When that information becomes available
118		it should be used to supplement the record for the Commission's
119		consideration.
120	Q.	What amount did the Company use to remove costs from off-system
121		sales in its 2000 monthly filings?
122		
123	Α.	The Company removed the fuel cost of off-system sales at incrementa
124		cost in each of its monthly filings in 2000, which is in accordance with the
125		Emergency Rule.
126		
127	Q.	How did the Company treat its purchased power costs in the
128		determination of system-average fuel cost in its monthly filings in
129		2000?
130		
131	A.	The Company did not include purchase power costs in the calculation of
132		the system-average fuel cost, which is contrary to the Emergency Rule
133		and the Permanent Rule.

135	Q.	Did the Emergency Rule reflect a change in the Commission's policy
136		regarding the treatment of purchased power costs in the
137		determination of system-average fuel cost?
138		
139	A.	No, it did not. However, Sections 425.40(h) and (i) were added to clarify
140		that purchased power costs (CCP) are to be included in the determination
141		of the average energy cost for the CNS component. As noted in my
142		rebuttal testimony in Docket No. 99-0468:
143 144 145 146 147 148		All other electric utilities have included the purchased power component in the computation of "average fuel cost." Only CILCO has interpreted the term "average fuel cost" to mean the average generation cost. (Docket No. 99-0468, ICC Staff Exhibit 3, p. 7).
149		Thus, the above amendments to Part 425 do not signify a change in
150		Commission policy regarding the treatment of purchased power costs.
151		
152	Reco	mmendation
153	Q.	What is your recommendation regarding CILCO's 2000 FAC
154		reconciliation?
155		
156	A.	I recommend that the Commission adopt Staff's proposed FAC
157		Reconciliation as reflected on ICC Staff Exhibit 1, Schedule 1, page 1,
158		Column (d).
159		
160	Conc	lusion

161 Q. Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?

162

163 A. Yes, it does.

CENTRAL ILLINOIS LIGHT COMPANY Reconciliation of FAC Revenues With Actual Costs For The Year Ended December 31, 2000

Line <u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u> (a)	Reconciliation Per <u>Company</u> (b)	Staff <u>Adjustments</u> (c)	Reconciliation Per Staff (Cols. b - c) (d)
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Fuel Cost per Income Statement Interdepartmental Reclassification Deregulated Sales Reclassification Current Under (Over)-recovery Amount Subtotal	\$ 115,310,025 46,124 2,693,693 (10,870,655) \$ 107,179,187	\$ (3,887,878) - (1,603,992) - 5,491,998 \$ 128	\$ 111,422,147 46,124 1,089,701 (5,378,657) \$ 107,179,315
6.	Adjustments to eliminate fuel cost which the fuel adjustment clause is not designed to recover:			
7. 8. 9.	Station Expenses and Freeseproofing Transportation Expenses Net Generation Fuel Cost	\$ (1,453,242)	\$ (129) 	\$ (1,453,371)
10.	Fuel cost associated with kilowatthours purchased from other utilities (Energy portion only)	87,655,491	(9,205,362)	78,450,129
11.	Adjustment required to eliminate fuel costs associated with kilowatthours to which the fuel adjustment clause (FAC) is not applicable (CNS):			
12.	Sales to other utilities	\$ (41,939,686)	\$ 13,865,002	\$ (28,074,684)
13.	Non-monetary interchanges with other utilities	0	-	0
14.	Sales for resale	(342,934)	35,551	(307,383)
15.	Company Use	(248,044)	22,718	(225,326)
16.	Sales not subject to the FAC	(44,062,045)	(15,612,389)	(59,674,434)
17.	Subtotal CNS Sales (Lines 12-16)	\$ (86,592,709)	<u>\$ (1,689,118)</u>	\$ (88,281,827)
18.	Fuel cost of sales to which the fuel adjustment clause is applicable (Line 9 + Line 10 + Line 17)	\$ 90,534,896	(10,894,481)	\$ 79,640,415
19.	Total fuel cost recovered through the application of base rates and fuel adjustment factors	91,912,470	<u>.</u>	91,912,470
20.	Under (Over)-recovery for the year ended December 31, 2000	\$ (1,377,574)	\$ (10,894,481)	\$ (12,272,055)
21.	Deferred Under(Over)-recovery as of December 31, 1999	10,849,002	(21,945,536)	(11,096,534)
22.	Net Under(Over)-recovered balance at December 31, 2000	\$ 9,471,428	\$ (32,840,017)	\$ (23,368,589)
23.	Balance of Factor Ra at December 31, 2000	1,153,483	-	1,153,483
24.	Balance of 1999 Ro Factor at December 31, 2000 (*)		(21,945,536)	(21,945,536)
25.	Ordered 2000 Reconciliation Factor (Factor Ro) Collection / (Refund) (*)	\$ 8,317,945	\$ (10,894,481)	\$ (2,576,536)

Notes:

*...Amount Due Customers:

Ro from 1999 Reconciliation (Column d, Line 24) Ro from 200 Reconciliation (Column d, Line 25) Total Due \$ 21,945,536 2,576,536 \$ 24,522,072

CENTRAL ILLINOIS LIGHT COMPANY Reconciliation of FAC Revenues With Actual Costs For The Year Ended December 31, 2000

Sources:

Column (b)

Column (b), Lines 1-22: CILCO Exhibit 1.1, Direct Testimony of Glenn L. Davidson, Statement of Reconciliation of Fuel Costs.

Column (b), Line 23: CILCO Monthly FAC Filing, for the billing month beginning February 1, 2001, Reconciliation of Under/Over Recoveries with the General Ledger, During the 2nd Prior Month - December, Schedule 7, Column (H), Line 2.

Column (b), Line 24: See, CłLCO Exhibit 1.0, Direct Testiomony of Glenn L. Davidson, pp. 4-5, lines 81-83.

Column (b), Line 25: Line 22 - Line 23 - Line 24; (See, CILCO Exhibit 1.0, p. 4, Lines 78-81).

Column (c)

Column (c): Column (b) - Column (d).

Column (d)

Column (d), Lines 1-19: CILCO Response to Staff Data Request SRK-001, CiLCO 2000 FAC Reconciliation Using Emergency Rule Methodology, (See, Illinois Commerce Commission On Its Own Motion, Amendment of 83 Ill. Adm. Code 425, Docket No. 01-0253, Initiating Order Date March 7, 2001. Appendix A).

Column (d), Line 20: Line 18 - Line 19.

Column (d), Line 21: Staff Brief on Exceptions, Illinois Commerce Commission on its Own Motion vs. Central Illinois Light Company, Docket No. 99-0468, Apppendix A, Line 22, June 7, 2001.

Column (d), Line 22: Line 20 + Line 21.

Column (d), Line 23: CILCO Monthly FAC Filing, for the billing month beginning February 1, 2001, Reconciliation of Under/Over Recoveries with the General Ledger, During the 2nd Prior Month - December, Schedule 7, Column (H), Line 2.

Column (d), Line 24: Staff Brief on Exceptions, Illinois Commerce Commission on its Own Motion vs. Central Illiniois Light Company, Docket No. 99-0468, Appendix A, Line 24, June 7, 2001.

Column (d), Line 25: Line 22 - Line 23 - Line 24.